1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 110 111 112 113 114 115	Kenneth A. Gallo (pro hac vice) Paul D. Brachman (pro hac vice) PAUL, WEISS, RIFKIND, WHARTON & GARRIS 2001 K Street, NW Washington, DC 20006-1047 Telephone: (202) 223-7300 Facsimile: (202) 204-7420 Email: kgallo@paulweiss.com Email: pbrachman@paulweiss.com William B. Michael (pro hac vice) Crystal L. Parker (pro hac vice) Daniel A. Crane (pro hac vice) PAUL, WEISS, RIFKIND, WHARTON & GARRIS 1285 Avenue of the Americas New York, NY 10019-6064 Telephone: (212) 373-3000 Facsimile: (212) 757-3990 Email: wmichael@paulweiss.com Email: cparker@paulweiss.com Email: dcrane@paulweiss.com Joshua Hill Jr. (SBN 250842) PAUL, WEISS, RIFKIND, WHARTON & GARRIS 535 Mission Street, 24th Floor San Francisco, CA 94105 Telephone: (628) 432-5100 Facsimile: (628) 232-3101 Email: jhill@paulweiss.com	SON LLP	
16			
17	[Additional counsel listed on signature page]		
18	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
19	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
20	SAN FRANC	ISCO DIVISION	
21 22	SURGICAL INSTRUMENT SERVICE COMPANY, INC.,	Case No. 3:21-cv-03496-AMO	
23 24	Plaintiff, v. INTUITIVE SURGICAL, INC., Defendant.	JOINT STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER REGARDING INTUITIVE'S MOTION <i>IN LIMINE</i> NO. 5	
25 26		The Honorable Araceli Martínez-Olguín	
27			
28			

28

1	The Parties respectfully ask the Court to enter the Proposed Order attached hereto		
2	as Exhibit A on the docket in this case. The Parties further agree that this Stipulation renders		
3	moot Intuitive's Motion in Limine No. 5.		
4			
5	IT IS SO STIPULATED, THROUGH C	OUNSEL OF RECORD.	
6	Dated: December 3, 2024	By: /s/ Kenneth A. Gallo	
7		Kenneth A. Gallo	
8		Kenneth A. Gallo (<i>pro hac vice</i>) Paul D. Brachman (<i>pro hac vice</i>)	
9		Paul, Weiss, Rifkind, Wharton & Garrison LLP	
10		2001 K Street, NW Washington, DC 20006-1047	
11		Telephone: (202) 223-7300 Facsimile: (202) 204-7420	
12		Email: kgallo@paulweiss.com Email: pbrachman@paulweiss.com	
13		William B. Michael (pro hac vice)	
14		Crystal L. Parker (<i>pro hac vice</i>) Daniel A. Crane (<i>pro hac vice</i>)	
15		Paul, Weiss, Rifkind, Wharton & Garrison LLP	
16		1285 Avenue of the Americas New York, NY 10019-6064	
17		Telephone: (212) 373-3000 Facsimile: (212) 757-3990	
18		Email: wmichael@paulweiss.com Email: cparker@paulweiss.com	
19		Email: dcrane@paulweiss.com	
20		Joshua Hill Jr. (SBN 250842) PAUL, WEISS, RIFKIND, WHARTON &	
21		GARRISON LLP 535 Mission Street, 24th Floor	
22		San Francisco, CA 94105 Telephone: (628) 432-5100	
23		Facsimile: (628) 232-3101 Email: jhill@paulweiss.com	
24		Sonya D. Winner (SBN 200348)	
25		COVINGTON & BURLINGTON LLP 415 Mission Street, Suite 5400	
26		San Francisco, California 94105-2533 Telephone: (415) 591-6000	
27		Facsimile: (415) 591-6091 Email: swinner@cov.com	
28		Elitaii. 5 illioi (a co v. colli	
۷۵			
		- 3 -	

E-Filing Attestation I, Kenneth A. Gallo, am the ECF User whose ID and password are being used to file this document. In compliance with Civil Local Rule 5-1(i)(3), I hereby attest that each of the signatories identified above have concurred in this filing. /s/ Kenneth A. Gallo

Exhibit A

1 **PROPOSED** ORDER REGARDING INTUITIVE'S MOTION IN LIMINE NO. 5 2 On June 11, 2024, the Court entered its Schedule and Pretrial Order, which required the Parties to serve any motions in limine by October 28, 2024. Dkt. 235 at Section II.B. On 3 4 October 28, 2024, Defendant served on Plaintiff Defendant's Motion in Limine No. 5, Dkt. 296. 5 On November 7, 2024, Plaintiff served on Defendant an opposition to Intuitive's Motion, Dkt. 296. 6 7 The Parties now jointly stipulate and agree, and the Court so-orders, that: SIS will not present evidence or argument to the jury stating or suggesting that 8 1. Intuitive made false or misleading statements to its customers. 9 10 2. Nothing in this Stipulation shall preclude SIS from: 11 Introducing into evidence documents or testimony stating or suggesting 12 that Intuitive's statements to its customers, although not false or misleading, are nevertheless part 13 of what SIS alleges to be Intuitive's overall anticompetitive, exclusionary conduct; or 14 b. Introducing into evidence documents or testimony stating or suggesting 15 that using modified EndoWrists with a reset use counter does not pose patient safety risks. 3. Nothing in this Stipulation shall preclude Intuitive from arguing that documents 16 17 or testimony subject to 2(a) or 2(b) are inadmissible for reasons unrelated to Intuitive's Motion 18 in Limine No. 5. 19 20 21 PURSUANT TO STIPULATION, IT IS SO ORDERED. 22 23 24 December 4, 2024 BY THE COURT: Dated

ARACELI MARTÍNEZ-OLGUÍN

United States District Judge

27

25

26

28